

SIMON & PARTNERS LLP

THE FRENCH BUILDING

551 FIFTH AVENUE

NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10176

www.simonlawyers.com

TEL: (212) 332-8900

FAX: (212) 332-8909

BRADLEY D. SIMON
KENNETH C. MURPHY

J. EVAN SHAPIRO
ADAM BIRD-RIDNELL
ROBERT GENDELMAN

COUNSEL
PAMELA B. STUART
NEAL M. SHER
GENEVIEVE SROUSSI
STEPHENIE L. BROWN
HARRIET TAMEN

NEW YORK
WASHINGTON, D.C.

MEMO ENDORSED

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 4/22/16

April 21, 2016

ECF-Filed & Faxed

The Honorable Frank Maas
United States Magistrate Judge
U.S. Courthouse
500 Pearl Street
New York, NY 10007

I did not issue any "order" during the conference on 10/6/15. I did say that the conduct then complained of, which I attributed to Mr. Satter, was colossally stupid. I continue to adhere to that view. I do not intend to take

Re: *Kriss et al. v. Bayrock Group et al.* any action in response to this letter unless Civil Docket No: 10 Civ. 3959 (LGS) (FM) Judge Schofield otherwise directs. Finally, I take no position now as to what the

Dear Judge Maas:

We represent Plaintiffs Jody Kriss and Michael Chu'di Ejekam in the above-referenced matter. In a telephone conference convened by the Court on October 6, 2015 (the "October Conference"), Your Honor advised counsel for Defendant Felix Satter (a/k/a Felix Satter) that his client cease his harassment of Mr. Kriss. (See 10-CV-3959 ECF Nos. 192-195 (Transcript is ECF No. 195)). Unfortunately, we must bring to your attention the fact that Satter, and possibly other defendants in this action, have recently engaged in extortion against our clients seeking either to obtain a voluntary withdrawal of this action, or to exact revenge upon them for having filed this action. I attach copies of recent correspondence received from Satter and possibly other defendants.

Plaintiffs believe that these recent actions constitute a violation by Satter of the Court's orders issued during the October Conference. Accordingly, Plaintiffs intend to seek orders holding him in criminal and civil contempt for his actions, and/or awarding them additional/alternative forms of relief. Plaintiffs do not believe that Satter and those assisting him should be permitted to conduct an illegal retaliatory campaign against Plaintiffs to obtain a result in this lawsuit. Plaintiffs submit that that campaign constitutes an affront to the Court. Plaintiffs also seek to conduct discovery concerning the threatening emails to determine whether other defendants are involved in the extortion of Messrs. Kriss and Ejekam.

F. Maas, USMJ,
4/21/16